arXiv:1004.0934v3 [math.GR] 15 Jun 2011

A GENERALIZATION OF THE PROBABILITY THAT THE COMMUTATOR OF TWO GROUP ELEMENTS IS EQUAL TO A GIVEN ELEMENT

AHMAD M.A. ALGHAMDI AND FRANCESCO G. RUSSO

Abstract. The probability that the commutator of two group elements is equal to a given element has been introduced in literature few years ago. Several authors have investigated this notion with methods of the representation theory and with combinatorial techniques. Here we illustrate that a wider context may be considered and show some structural restrictions on the group.

1. Different formulations of the commutativity degree

Given two elements x and y of a group G, several authors studied the probability that a randomly chosen commutator $[x,y]$ of G satisfies a prescribed property. P. Erdős and P. Turán [6] began to investigate the case $[x,y]=1$, noting some structural restrictions on G from bounds of statistical nature. Their approach involved combinatorial techniques, which were developed successively in [2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 15, 17] and extended to the infinite case in [8, 13, 18]. On another hand, P. X. Gallagher [11] investigated the case $[x,y]=1$, using character theory, and opened another line of research, illustrated in [3, 4, 12, 16, 19]. The literature shows that it is possible to variate the condition on $[x,y]$ involving arbitrary words, which could not be the commutator word $[x,y]$. From now, all the groups which we consider will be finite.

Given two subgroups H and K of G and two integers $n,m\geq 1$, we define

$$\mathrm{p}_g^{(n,m)}(H,K)=\frac{|\{(x_1,\ldots,x_n,y_1,\ldots,y_m)\in H^n\times K^m \mid [x_1,\ldots,x_n,y_1,\ldots,y_m]=g\}|}{|H|^n\ |K|^m} \tag{1.1}$$

as the *probability that a randomly chosen commutator of weight $n+m$ of $H\times K$ is equal to a given element of G*. Denoting

$$\mathcal{A}=\{(x_1,\ldots,x_n,y_1,\ldots,y_m)\in H^n\times K^m \mid [x_1,\ldots,x_n,y_1,\ldots,y_m]=g\}, \tag{1.2}$$

$|\mathcal{A}|=|H|^n\cdot|K|^m\cdot\mathrm{p}_g^{(n,m)}(H,K)$. The case $n=m=1$ can be found in [4] and is called *generalized commutativity degree of G*. For $n=m=1$ and $H=K=G$,

$$\mathrm{p}_g^{(1,1)}(G,G)=\mathrm{p}_g(G)=\frac{|\{(x,y)\in G^2 \mid [x,y]=g\}|}{|G|^2} \tag{1.3}$$

is the *probability that the commutator of two group elements of G is equal to a given element of G* in [16].

It is well known (see for instance [1, Excercise 3, p. 183]) that the function $\psi(g)=|\{(x,y)\in G\times G \mid [x,y]=g\}|$ is a character of G and we have $\psi=\sum\limits_{\chi\in\mathrm{Irr}(G)}\frac{|G|}{\chi(1)}\chi$, where $\mathrm{Irr}(G)$ denotes the set of all irreducible complex characters of G. However, the authors exploited this fact in [16, Theorem 2.1], writing (1.3) as

$$\mathrm{p}_g(G)=\frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{\chi\in\mathrm{Irr}(G)}\frac{\chi(g)}{\chi(1)}, \tag{1.4}$$

For terminology and notations in character theory we refer to [14].

Now for $g=1$,

$$\mathrm{p}_1^{(1,1)}(G,G)=\mathrm{p}_1(G)=\mathrm{d}(G)=\frac{|\{(x,y)\in G^2 \mid [x,y]=1\}|}{|G|^2}=\frac{|\mathrm{Irr}(G)|}{|G|} \tag{1.5}$$

Date: June 17, 2011.

Key words and phrases. Commutativity degree, relative n-th nilpotency degree, probability of commuting pairs, characters.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 20P05; 20D60.

is the *probability of commuting pairs of* G (or briefly the *commutativity degree* of G), largely studied in [2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 17, 19]. In particular,

$$\mathrm{p}_1^{(n,1)}(G,G) = \frac{|\{(x_1,\dots,x_n,x_{n+1}) \in G^{n+1} \mid [x_1,\dots,x_n,x_{n+1}]=1\}|}{|G|^{n+1}} = \mathrm{d}^{(n)}(G), \tag{1.6}$$

is the n-th *nilpotency degree* of G in [2, 7, 9, 17, 18] and that

$$\mathrm{p}_1^{(n,1)}(H,G) = \frac{|\{(x_1,\dots,x_n,y) \in H^n \times G \mid [x_1,\dots,x_n,y]=1\}|}{|H|^n\ |G|} = \mathrm{d}^{(n)}(H,G) \tag{1.7}$$

is the *relative* n-th *nilpotency degree* of H in G, studied in [7, 9, 17, 18]. We may express (1.7) not necessarily with $g=1$; assuming that H is normal in G, [4, Equation (4) and Theorem 4.2] imply

$$\mathrm{p}_g^{(1,1)}(H,G) = \frac{|\{(x,y) \in H \times G \mid [x,y]=g\}|}{|H|\ |G|} = \frac{1}{|H||G|}\sum_{\chi\in \mathrm{Irr}(G)} \frac{|H|\langle \chi_H,\chi_H\rangle}{\chi(1)}\chi(g), \tag{1.8}$$

where χ_H denotes the restriction of χ to H and $\langle,\rangle$ the usual inner product. Our purpose is to study (1.1), extending the previous contributions in [2, 4, 7, 16, 17]. The main results of the present paper are in Section 3, in which the general considerations of Section 2 are applied.

2. TECHNICAL PROPERTIES AND SOME COMPUTATIONS

We begin with two elementary observations on (1.1).

Remark 2.1. If $\mathcal{S} = \{[x_1,\dots,x_n,y_1,\dots,y_m] \mid x_1,\dots,x_n \in H; y_1,\dots,y_m \in K\}$, then $\mathrm{p}_g^{(n,m)}(H,K)=0$ if and only if $g \notin \mathcal{S}$. On another hand, $\mathrm{p}_1^{(n,m)}(H,K)=1$ if and only if $[\underbrace{H,\dots,H}_{n-\text{times}},\underbrace{K,\dots,K}_{m-\text{times}}] = [_nH,_mK]=1$.

Remark 2.2. The equation (1.1) assigns by default the map

$$\mathrm{p}_g^{(n,m)} : (x_1,\dots,x_n,y_1,\dots,y_m) \in H^n \times K^m \mapsto \mathrm{p}_g^{(n,m)}(H,K) \in [0,1], \tag{2.1}$$

which is a probability measure on $H^n \times K^m$, satisfying a series of standard properties such as being multiplicative, symmetric and monotone.

The fact that (2.1) is multiplicative is described by the next result.

Proposition 2.3. *Let* E *and* F *be two groups such that* $e \in E$, $f \in F$, $A,C \le E$ *and* $B,D \le F$. *Then*

$$\mathrm{p}_{(e,f)}^{(n,m)}(A\times C, B\times D) = \mathrm{p}_e^{(n,m)}(A,B)\cdot \mathrm{p}_f^{(n,m)}(C,D).$$

Proof. It is enough to note that

$$[([a_1,\dots,a_n],[c_1,\dots,c_n]),([b_1,\dots,b_m],[d_1,\dots,d_m])] = ([[a_1,\dots,a_n],[b_1,\dots,b_m]],[c_1,\dots,c_n],[d_1,\dots,d_m]]).$$

□

Proposition 2.3 is true for finitely many factors instead of only two factors and this can be checked with easy computations. Therefore the proof is omitted. The fact that (2.1) is symmetric is described by the next result.

Proposition 2.4. *With the notations of* (1.1), $\mathrm{p}_g^{(n,m)}(H,K) = \mathrm{p}_{g^{-1}}^{(n,m)}(K,H)$. *Moreover, if* H, *or* K, *is normal in* G, *then* $\mathrm{p}_g^{(n,m)}(H,K) = \mathrm{p}_g^{(n,m)}(K,H) = \mathrm{p}_{g^{-1}}^{(n,m)}(H,K)$.

Proof. The commutator rule $[x,y]^{-1}=[y,x]$ implies the first part of the result. Now let H be normal in G, $n \le m$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{(y_1,\dots,y_m,x_1,\dots,x_n) \in K^m\times H^n \mid [y_1,\dots,y_m,x_1,\dots,x_n]=g\}$. The map $\varphi : (x_1,\dots,x_n,y_1,\dots,y_m) \in \mathcal{A} \mapsto (y_1^{-1},y_2^{-1},\dots,y_n^{-1},y_{n+1}^{-1},\dots,y_m^{-1},y_1x_1y_1^{-1},y_2x_2y_2^{-1},\dots,y_nx_ny_n^{-1}) \in \mathcal{B}$ is bijective and so the remaining equalities follow. A similar argument can be applied, when the assumption H is normal in G is replaced by K is normal in G. □

The fact that (2.1) is monotone is more delicate to prove, since this is a situation in which we may find upper bounds for (1.1). Details are given later on. Now we will get another expression for (1.1). With the notations of (1.1), $\mathrm{Cl}_K([x_1,\dots,x_n])$ denotes the *K-conjugacy class of* $[x_1,\dots,x_n] \in H$.

Proposition 2.5. *With the notations of* (1.1),

$$\mathrm{p}_g^{(n,m)}(H,K)=\frac{1}{|H|^n\ |K|^m}\sum_{\substack{x_1,\ldots,x_n\in H\\ g^{-1}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]\in \mathrm{Cl}_K([x_1,\ldots,x_n])}}|C_K([x_1,\ldots,x_n])|^m. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. It is straightforward to check that

$$C_{K^m}([x_1,\ldots,x_n])=\underbrace{C_K([x_1,\ldots,x_n])\times\ldots\times C_K([x_1,\ldots,x_n])}_{m-\text{times}}. \tag{2.3}$$

In particular, $|C_{K^m}([x_1,\ldots,x_n])|=|C_K([x_1,\ldots,x_n])|^m$.

$\mathcal{A}=\bigcup_{[x_1,\ldots,x_n]\in H}\{[x_1,\ldots,x_n]\}\times T_{[x_1,\ldots,x_n]}$, where $T_{[x_1,\ldots,x_n]}=\{(y_1,\ldots,y_m)\in K^m \mid [x_1,\ldots,x_n,y_1,\ldots,y_m]=g\}$. Obviously, $T_{[x_1,\ldots,x_n]}\neq\emptyset$ if and only if $g^{-1}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]\in\mathrm{Cl}_K([x_1,\ldots,x_n])$. Let $T_{[x_1,\ldots,x_n]}\neq\emptyset$. Then $|T_{[x_1,\ldots,x_n]}|=|C_{K^m}([x_1,\ldots,x_n])|$, because the map $\psi:[y_1,\ldots,y_m]\mapsto g\overline{[y_1,\ldots,y_m]}^{-1}[y_1,\ldots,y_m]$ is bijective, where $\overline{[y_1,\ldots,y_m]}$ is a fixed element of $T_{[x_1,\ldots,x_n]}$. We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{A}|=\textstyle\sum_{[x_1,\ldots,x_n]\in H}|T_{[x_1,\ldots,x_n]}|&=\sum_{\substack{x_1,\ldots,x_n\in H\\ g^{-1}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]\in \mathrm{Cl}_K([x_1,\ldots,x_n])}}|C_{K^m}([x_1,\ldots,x_n])|\\ &=\sum_{\substack{x_1,\ldots,x_n\in H\\ g^{-1}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]\in \mathrm{Cl}_K([x_1,\ldots,x_n])}}|C_K([x_1,\ldots,x_n])|^m\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

and the result follows. □

Special cases of Proposition 2.5 are listed below.

Corollary 2.6. *In* Proposition 2.5 , *if* $m=1$ *and* $G=K$, *then*

$$\mathrm{p}_g^{(n,1)}(H,G)=\frac{1}{|H|^n\ |G|}\sum_{\substack{x_1,\ldots,x_n\in H\\ g^{-1}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]\in \mathrm{Cl}_G([x_1,\ldots,x_n])}}|C_G([x_1,\ldots,x_n])|. \tag{2.5}$$

Corollary 2.7 (See [4], Theorem 2.3)**.** *In* Proposition 2.5 , *if* $m=n=1$, *then*

$$\mathrm{p}_g^{(1,1)}(H,K)=\frac{1}{|H|\ |K|}\sum_{\substack{x\in H\\ g^{-1}x\in \mathrm{Cl}_K(x)}}|C_K(x)|. \tag{2.6}$$

In particular, if $G=K$, *then* $\mathrm{p}_g^{(1,1)}(H,G)=\frac{1}{|H|\ |G|}\sum_{\substack{x\in H\\ g^{-1}x\in \mathrm{Cl}_G(x)}}|C_G(x)|$.

Corollary 2.8 (See [7], Proof of Lemma 4.2)**.** *In* Proposition 2.5 , *if* $m=1$ *and* $G=K$, *then*

$$\mathrm{p}_1^{(n,1)}(H,G)=\mathrm{d}^{(n)}(H,G)=\frac{1}{|H|^n\ |G|}\sum_{x_1,\ldots,x_n\in H}|C_G([x_1,\ldots,x_n])|. \tag{2.7}$$

Corollary 2.9. *In* Proposition 2.5 , *if* $C_K([x_1,\ldots,x_n])=1$, *then*

$$\mathrm{p}_1^{(n,m)}(H,K)=\frac{1}{|H|^n}+\frac{1}{|K|^m}-\frac{1}{|H|^n\ |K|^m}. \tag{2.8}$$

[4, Proposition 3.4] follows from Corollary 2.9, when $m=n=1$.

Remark 2.10. Equation (1.7) makes equivalent the study of $\mathrm{p}_1^{(n,1)}(H,G)$ and that of $\mathrm{d}^{(n)}(H,G)$. This is illustrated in Corollary 2.8 and noted here for the first time. Therefore there are many information from [2, 7, 9, 17] and [4, 3, 16] which can be connected. It is relevant to point out that these concepts were treated independently and with different methods in the last years.

Let χ be a character of G and θ be a character of $H \leq G$. The *Frobenius Reciprocity Law* [14, Lemma 5.2] gives a link between the restriction χ_H of χ to H and the induced character θ^G of θ. Therefore $\langle \chi, \theta^G \rangle_G = \langle \chi_H, \theta \rangle_H$.Write this number as $e_{(\chi,\theta)} = \langle \chi, \theta^G \rangle_G = \langle \chi_H, \theta \rangle_H$. If $e_{(\chi,\theta)} = 0$, then θ does not appear in χ_H and so χ does not appear in θ^G. Recall from [14] that, if $e_{(\chi,\theta)} \neq 0$, then χ *covers* θ (or also θ *belongs to the constituents of* χ_H). In particular, if $\theta = \chi_H$, then $e_{(\chi,\chi_H)} = \langle \chi, (\chi_H)^G \rangle_G = \langle \chi_H, \chi_H \rangle_H$. From a classic relation (see [14, Lemma 2.29]), $e_{(\chi,\chi_H)} = \langle \chi, (\chi_H)^G \rangle_G = \langle \chi_H, \chi_H \rangle_H \leq |G : H| \, \langle \chi, \chi \rangle_G = |G : H| e_{(\chi,\chi)}$ and the equality holds if and only if $\chi(x) = 0$ for all $x \in G - H$. In particular, if $\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$, then $\langle \chi_H, \chi_H \rangle_H = |G : H|$ if and only if $\chi(x) = 0$, for all $x \in G - H$. Therefore the following result is straightforward.

Corollary 2.11. *With the notations of* (1.1), $\mathrm{p}_g^{(1,1)}(H, G) \leq |G : H| \ \mathrm{p}_1(G)$ *and the equality holds if and only if all the characters vanish on* $G - H$.

At this point, [4, Theorem 4.2] becomes

$$\zeta(g) = |H| \sum_{\chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)} \frac{e_{(\chi_H,\chi_H)}}{\chi(1)} \cdot \chi(g) = |\{(x, y) \in H \times G \mid [x, y] = g\}| = \sum_{\substack{x \in H \\ g^{-1}x \in \mathrm{Cl}_G(x)}} |C_G(x)|, \tag{2.9}$$

where $\zeta(g)$ is the number of solutions $(x, y) \in H \times G$ of the equation $[x, y] = g$. Note that (2.9) and [1, Excercise 3, p. 183] give a short argument to prove that $\zeta(g)$ is a character of G with respect to the argument in [4, Corollary 4.3]. The equation (1.8) becomes

$$\mathrm{p}_g^{(1,1)}(H, G) = \frac{\zeta(g)}{|H| \ |G|}. \tag{2.10}$$

For the general case that $n > 1$, $m > 1$ and $G = K$,

$$\mathrm{p}_g^{(n,m)}(H, G) = \frac{\zeta^{(n,m)}(g)}{|G|^m} = \frac{1}{|G|^m} \Big(\sum_{\substack{x_1,\ldots,x_n \in H \\ g^{-1}[x_1,\ldots,x_n] \in \mathrm{Cl}_G([x_1,\ldots,x_n])}} |C_G([x_1, \ldots, x_n])|^m \Big), \tag{2.11}$$

where

$$\zeta^{(n,m)}(g) = \sum_{\substack{x_1,\ldots,x_n \in H \\ g^{-1}[x_1,\ldots,x_n] \in \mathrm{Cl}_G([x_1,\ldots,x_n])}} |C_G([x_1, \ldots, x_n])|^m \tag{2.12}$$

is the number of solutions $(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_m) \in H^n \times G^m$ of $[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_m] = g$.

Remark 2.12. There are many evidences from the computations that $\zeta^{(n,m)}(g)$ is a character of G.

Now we may prove upper bounds for (1.1) and find that (2.1) is monotone.

Proposition 2.13. *With the notations of* (1.1), *if* $H \leq K$, *then* $\mathrm{p}_g^{(n,m)}(H, G) \geq \mathrm{p}_g^{(n,m)}(K, G)$. *The equality holds if and only if* $\mathrm{Cl}_H(x) = \mathrm{Cl}_K(x)$ *for all* $x \in G$.

Proof. We note that $\frac{1}{|K|} \leq \frac{1}{|H|}$ and then $\frac{1}{|K|^n} \leq \frac{1}{|H|^n}$. By Proposition 2.5,

$$\begin{aligned} |G|^m \cdot \mathrm{p}_g^{(n,m)}(K, G) &= \tfrac{1}{|K|^n} \sum_{\substack{x_1,\ldots,x_n \in K \\ g^{-1}[x_1,\ldots,x_n] \in \mathrm{Cl}_G([x_1,\ldots,x_n])}} |C_G([x_1, \ldots, x_n])| \\ &\leq \tfrac{1}{|H|^n} \sum_{\substack{x_1,\ldots,x_n \in K \\ g^{-1}[x_1,\ldots,x_n] \in \mathrm{Cl}_G([x_1,\ldots,x_n])}} |C_G([x_1, \ldots, x_n])| \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

in particular the last relation is true for $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in H \leq K$ and continuing

$$= \frac{1}{|H|^n} \sum_{\substack{x_1,\ldots,x_n \in H \\ g^{-1}[x_1,\ldots,x_n] \in \mathrm{Cl}_G([x_1,\ldots,x_n])}} |C_G([x_1, \ldots, x_n])| = |G|^m \cdot p_g^{(n,m)}(H, G). \tag{2.14}$$

The rest of the proof is clear. ☐

The next result shows an upper bound, which generalizes [7, Theorem 4.6].

Proposition 2.14. *With the notations of* (1.1)*, if N is a normal subgroup of G such that $H \leq N$, then* $\mathrm{p}_g^{(n,m)}(H,G) \leq \mathrm{p}_g^{(n,m)}\left(\frac{H}{N}, \frac{G}{N}\right)$*. Moreover, if $N \cap [{}_nH,{}_mG] = 1$, then the equality holds.*

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|H|^n \ |G|^m \ \mathrm{p}_g^{(n,m)}(H,G) = |\mathcal{A}| \\
&= |\{(x_1,\dots,x_n,y_1,\dots,y_m) \in H^n \times G^m \mid [x_1,\dots,x_n,y_1,\dots,y_m] \cdot g^{-1} = 1\}| \\
&= |\{(x_1,\dots,x_n,y_1,\dots,y_m) \in H^n \times G^m \mid [x_1,\dots,x_n,y_1,\dots,y_m,g^{-1}] = 1\}| \\
&= \textstyle\sum_{x_1\in H}\cdots\sum_{x_n\in H}\sum_{y_1\in G}\cdots\sum_{y_m\in G} |C_G([x_1,\dots,x_n,y_1,\dots,y_m])| \\
&= \textstyle\sum_{x_1\in H}\cdots\sum_{x_n\in H}\sum_{y_1\in G}\cdots\sum_{y_m\in G} \frac{|C_G([x_1,\dots,x_n,y_1,\dots,y_m])N|\cdot|C_N([x_1,\dots,x_n,y_1,\dots,y_m])|}{|N|} \\
&\leq \textstyle\sum_{x_1\in H}\cdots\sum_{x_n\in H}\sum_{y_1\in G}\cdots\sum_{y_m\in G} |C_{G/N}([x_1N,\dots,x_nN,y_1N,\dots,y_mN])| \\
&\cdot|C_N([x_1,\dots,x_n,y_1,\dots,y_m])| \\
&= \textstyle\sum_{S_1\in H/N}\sum_{x_1\in S_1}\cdots\sum_{S_n\in H/N}\sum_{x_n\in S_n}\sum_{T_1\in G/N}\sum_{y_1\in T_1}\cdots\sum_{T_m\in G/N}\sum_{y_m\in T_m} \\
&|C_{G/N}([S_1,\dots,S_n,T_1,\dots,T_m])|\cdot|C_N([x_1,\dots,y_m])| \\
&= \Big(\textstyle\sum_{S_1\in H/N}\cdots\sum_{S_n\in H/N}\sum_{T_1\in G/N}\cdots\sum_{T_m\in G/N}|C_{G/N}([S_1,\dots,S_n,T_1,\dots,T_m])|\Big) \\
&\cdot\Big(\textstyle\sum_{x_1\in S_1}\cdots\sum_{x_n\in S_n}\sum_{y_1\in T_1}\cdots\sum_{y_m\in T_m}|C_N([x_1,\dots,y_m])|\Big) \\
&\leq |N|^{n+m}\textstyle\sum_{S_1\in H/N}\cdots\sum_{S_n\in H/N}\sum_{T_1\in G/N}\cdots\sum_{T_m\in G/N} \\
&|C_{G/N}([S_1,\dots,S_n,T_1,\dots,T_m])| \\
&= \left|\frac{H}{N}\right|^n \left|\frac{G}{N}\right|^m p_g^{(n,m)}\left(\frac{H}{N},\frac{G}{N}\right) |N|^{n+m} = |H|^n \ |G|^m \ \mathrm{p}_g^{(n,m)}\left(\frac{H}{N},\frac{G}{N}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

The condition of equality in the above relations is satisfied exactly when $N \cap [{}_nH,{}_mG] = 1$. The result follows. ☐

Corollary 2.15. *A special case of* Proposition 2.14 *is* $\mathrm{p}_g(G) \leq \mathrm{p}_g(G/N)$*.*

Corollary 2.16 (See [7], Theorem 4.6)**.** *In* Proposition 2.14 *, if $m = 1$ and $g = 1$, then* $\mathrm{d}^{(n)}(H,G) \leq \mathrm{d}^{(n)}(H/N,G/N)$*.*

3. Some upper and lower bounds

A relation among (1.1)–(1.8) is described below.

Theorem 3.1. *With the notations of* (1.1), $\mathrm{p}_g^{(n,m)}(G,G) \leq \mathrm{p}_g^{(n,m)}(H,K) \leq \mathrm{p}_1^{(n,m)}(H,K) \leq \mathrm{p}_1^{(n,m)}(H,G) \leq \mathrm{p}_1^{(n,m)}(H,H)$*.*

Proof. From Proposition 2.13, $\mathrm{p}_g^{(n,m)}(G,G) \leq \mathrm{p}_g^{(n,m)}(G,H)$. From Proposition 2.5,

$$\mathrm{p}_g^{(n,m)}(H,K) = \frac{1}{|H|^n \ |K|^m} \sum_{\substack{x_1,\dots,x_n\in H \\ g^{-1}[x_1,\dots,x_n]\in \mathrm{Cl}_K([x_1,\dots,x_n])}} |C_K([x_1,\dots,x_n])|^m \tag{3.1}$$

and for $g = 1$ we get

$$\leq \frac{1}{|H|^n \ |K|^m} \sum_{x_1,\dots,x_n\in H} |C_K([x_1,\dots,x_n])|^m = \mathrm{p}_1^{(n,m)}(H,K), \tag{3.2}$$

where in the last passage still Proposition 2.5 is used. From $C_K([x_1,\dots,x_n]) \subseteq C_G([x_1,\dots,x_n])$, we deduce

$$\leq \sum_{x_1,\dots,x_n\in H} |C_G([x_1,\dots,x_n])|^m = \mathrm{p}_1^{(n,m)}(H,G). \tag{3.3}$$

Applying Proposition 2.4, $\mathrm{p}_1^{(n,m)}(H,G)=\mathrm{p}_1^{(n,m)}(G,H)$ and so $\mathrm{p}_1^{(n,m)}(G,H)\le \mathrm{p}_1^{(n,m)}(H,H)$ by Proposition 2.13. □

Corollary 3.2. *With the notations of* (1.1), *if* $Z(G)=1$, *then* $\mathrm{p}_g^{(n,1)}(H,K)\le \frac{2^n-1}{2^n}$.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.1 and [7, Theorem 5.3]. □

Another significant restriction is the following.

Theorem 3.3. *With the notations of* (1.1), *let p be the smallest prime divisor of $|G|$. Then*

(i) $\mathrm{p}_g^{(n,m)}(H,K)\le \frac{2p^n+p-2}{p^{m+n}}$;

(ii) $\mathrm{p}_g^{(n,m)}(H,K)\ge \frac{(1-p)|Y_{H^n}|+p|H^n|}{|H^n|\;|K^m|}-\frac{(|K|+p)|C_H(K)|^n}{|H^n|\;|K^m|}$;

where $Y_{H^n}=\{[x_1,\ldots,x_n]\in H^n \mid C_K([x_1,\ldots,x_n])=1\}$.

Proof. If $[{}_nH,{}_mK]=1$, then $C_{H^n}(K^m)=H^n$ and Y_{H^n} equals H^n or an empty set according as K^m is trivial or nontrivial. Assume that $[{}_nH,{}_mK]\ne 1$. Then $Y_{H^n}\cap C_{H^n}(K^m)=Y_{H^n}\cap (C_H(K^m)\times\ldots\times C_H(K^m))=Y_{H^n}\cap (C_H(K)\times C_H(K)\times\ldots\times C_H(K))=Y_{H^n}\cap (C_H(K))^{nm}\ne\emptyset$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{x_1,\ldots,x_n\in H}|C_{K^m}([x_1,\ldots,x_n])|=\sum_{x_1,\ldots,x_n\in H}|C_K([x_1,\ldots,x_n])|^m\\
&=\sum_{x_1,\ldots,x_n\in Y_{H^n}}|C_K([x_1,\ldots,x_n])|^m+\sum_{x_1,\ldots,x_n\in C_{H^n}(K)}|C_K([x_1,\ldots,x_n])|^m\\
&+\sum_{x_1,\ldots,x_n\in H^n-(Y_{H^n}\cup C_{H^n}(K))}|C_K([x_1,\ldots,x_n])|^m\\
&=|Y_{H^n}|+|K|\;|C_H(K)|^n+\sum_{x_1,\ldots,x_n\in H^n-(Y_{H^n}\cup C_{H^n}(K))}|C_K([x_1,\ldots,x_n])|^m.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.4}$$

Since $p^m\le |C_K([x_1,\ldots,x_n])|^m\le \frac{|K^m|}{p^m}$, $|Y_{H^n}|\le |H^n|$ and $p^n\le |C_H(K)|^n\le \frac{|H^n|}{p^n}$,

$$\le |Y_{H^n}|+|K|\;|C_H(K)|^n+(|H^n|-(|Y_{H^n}|+|C_H(K)|^n)\cdot\frac{|K^m|}{p^m}\tag{3.5}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{p}_g^{(n,m)}(H,K)&\le \frac{|Y_{H^n}|}{|H^n|\;|K^m|}+\frac{|K|\;|C_H(K)|^n}{|H^n|\;|K^m|}+\frac{1}{p^m}-\frac{|Y_{H^n}|}{p^m\;|H^n|}-\frac{|C_H(K)|^n}{p^m\;|H^n|}\\
&\le \frac{1}{p^m}+\frac{1}{p^{m+n-1}}+\frac{1}{p^m}-\frac{1}{p^{m+n}}-\frac{1}{p^{m+n}}=\frac{2p^n+p-2}{p^{m+n}}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.6}$$

Hence (i) follows. On another hand, we may continue in the other direction

$$\ge |Y_{H^n}|+|K|\;|C_H(K)|^n+p\;(|H^n|-(|Y_{H^n}|+|C_H(K)|^n)\tag{3.7}$$

and then

$$\mathrm{p}_g^{(n,m)}(H,K)\ge \frac{(1-p)|Y_{H^n}|}{|H^n|\;|K^m|}+\frac{p}{|K^m|}-\frac{(|K|+p)|C_H(K)|^n}{|H^n|\;|K^m|}.\tag{3.8}$$

Then (ii) follows. □

The bound in Theorem 3.3 (i) is a little bit different from the bound in [4, Corollary 3.9], where it is proved that $\mathrm{p}_g^{(1,1)}(H,K)\le \frac{2p-1}{p^2}$ and in particular $\mathrm{p}_g^{(1,1)}(H,K)\le \frac{3}{4}$. We conclude the following structural restriction.

Corollary 3.4. *In* Theorem *3.3, if* $\mathrm{p}_g^{(n,m)}(H,K)=\frac{2p^n+p-2}{p^{m+n}}$, *then*

$$|H:C_H(K)|\le \Big(\frac{p^{n+1}-p^3-\frac{p^2}{2}+p}{2p^2+p-2}\Big)^{\frac{1}{n}}.\tag{3.9}$$

Proof. Looking at (3.6) and the proof of Theorem 3.3 (i), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{2p^n+p-2}{p^{m+n}}\le \frac{|Y_{H^n}|}{|H^n|\;|K^m|}+\frac{|K||C_H(K)|^n}{|H^n|\;|K^m|}+\frac{1}{p^m}\le \frac{1}{p^m}+\frac{1}{p^{m-1}}\;\left|\frac{C_H(K)}{H}\right|^n+\frac{1}{p^m}\\
&=\frac{1}{p^{m-1}}\Big(\frac{2}{p}+|\frac{C_H(K)}{H}|^n\Big)
\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

and then $\frac{2p^n+p-2}{p^{n+1}} \leq \frac{2}{p} + \left|\frac{C_H(K)}{H}\right|^n$. We conclude that $\frac{p^{n+1}}{2p^n+p-2} \geq \frac{p}{2} + \left|\frac{H}{C_H(K)}\right|^n$ and so

$$\frac{p^{n+1}}{2p^n+p-2} - \frac{p}{2} = \frac{p^{n+1} - p^3 - \frac{p^2}{2} + p}{2p^2+p-2} \geq \left|\frac{H}{C_H(K)}\right|^n. \tag{3.11}$$

The result follows, once we extract the n-th root. □

Acknowledgement

The second author is grateful to the colleagues of the Ferdowsi University of Mashhad for some helpful comments in the period in which the present work has been written.

References

[1] J. Alperin and B. Bell, *Groups and Representations*, Springer, 1995, New York.
[2] K. Chiti, M. R. R. Moghaddam and A. R. Salemkar, n–isoclinism classes and n–nilpotency degree of finite groups, *Algebra Colloq.* **12** (2005), 225–261.
[3] A. K. Das and R. K. Nath, On solutions of a class of equations in a finite group, *Commun. Algebra* **37** (2009), 3904–3911.
[4] A. K. Das and R. K. Nath, On the generalized relative commutative degree of a finite group, *Int. Electr. J. Algebra* **7** (2010), 140–151.
[5] H. Doostie and M. Maghasedi, Certain classes of groups with commutativity degree $d(G) < 1/2$, *Ars Combinatoria* **89** (2008), 263–270.
[6] P. Erdős and P. Turán, On some problems of statistical group theory, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.* **19** (1968), 413–435.
[7] A. Erfanian, P. Lescot and R. Rezaei, On the relative commutativity degree of a subgroup of a finite group, *Comm. Algebra* **35** (2007), 4183–4197.
[8] A. Erfanian and R. Rezaei, On the commutativity degree of compact groups, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **93** (2009), 345–356.
[9] A. Erfanian, R. Rezaei and F. G. Russo, Relative n-isoclinism classes and relative n-th nilpotency degree of finite groups, e-print, Cornell University, 2010, arXiv:0003310 [math.GR].
[10] I.V. Erovenko and B. Sury, Commutativity degree of wreath products of finite abelian groups, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **77** (2008), 31–36.
[11] P. X. Gallagher, The number of conjugacy classes in a finite group, *Math. Z.* **118** (1970), 175–179.
[12] R. M. Guralnick and G. R. Robinson, On the commuting probability in finite groups, *J. Algebra* **300** (2006), 509–528.
[13] W. H. Gustafson, What is the probability that two groups elements commute? *Amer. Math. Monthly* **80** (1973), 1031–1304.
[14] I. M. Isaacs, *Character Theory of Finite Groups*, Dover Publ., New York, 1994.
[15] P. Lescot, Isoclinism classes and commutativity degrees of finite groups, *J. Algebra* **177** (1987), 847–869.
[16] M. R. Pournaki and R. Sobhani, Probability that the commutator of two group elements is equal to a given element, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **212** (2008), 727–734.
[17] R. Rezaei and F. G. Russo, n-th relative nilpotency degree and relative n-isoclinism classes, e-print, Cornell University, 2010, arXiv:1003.2297v1 [math.GR].
[18] R. Rezaei and F. G. Russo, Bounds for the relative n-th nilpotency degree in compact groups, e-print, Cornell University, 2009, arXiv:0910.4716v1 [math.GR].
[19] D. J. Rusin, What is the probability that two elements of a finite group commute?, *Pacific J. Math.* **82** (1979), 237–247.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Umm Alqura University, P.O. Box 14035, Makkah, 21955, Saudi Arabia
E-mail address: `amghamdi@uqu.edu.sa`

IISSS "Axel Munthe", viale Axel Munthe, 80074, Anacapri (Naples), Italy
E-mail address: `francescog.russo@yahoo.com`